

April 7, 2006  
COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY  
TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM

**TABLE 1a. ADMISSION DATA for FY06 (October 1, 2005 - February 16, 2006)**

VISN	SITE	Number of Admissions	Homeless When Last Living in the Community %	Usually Unemployed Past 3 Years %	Usually Employed Full-Time Past 3 Years %	Competitively Employed Past 30 Days %	Using Alcohol Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Using Drugs Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Using Etoh or Drugs Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Mean ASI ETOH at Admission	Mean ASI Drug at Admission	Mean ASI Psychiatric at Admission	Mean GAF Score at Admission
1	(a) Bedford 518	14	85.7%	42.9%	42.9%	0.0%	78.6%	35.7%	78.6%	0.29	0.13	0.28	51
1	(b) Boston 523	7	71.4%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	71.4%	57.1%	100.0%	0.48	0.30	0.08	46
1	(c) Boston Women 523W	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.03	0.46	35
1	(b) Northampton 631	0†											
2	(a) Albany 528A8	5	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	80.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.35	0.37	0.09	49
3	(a) Lyons 561A4	2	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.12	0.00	0.00	40
4	(b) Butler 529	8	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	0.0%	75.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.18	0.12	0.07	53
4	(a)(b) Lebanon 595	9	22.2%	0.0%	44.4%	0.0%	88.9%	66.7%	100.0%	0.26	0.13	0.13	65
4	(b) Pittsburgh 646A5	6	50.0%	50.0%	16.7%	0.0%	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	0.21	0.23	0.18	50
5	(d) Perry Point 512A5	9	44.4%	22.2%	55.6%	88.9%	66.7%	71.4%	87.5%	0.28	0.11	0.10	48
5	(a) Washington, DC 688	2	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.19	0.21	0.05	70
6	(b) Hampton 590	12	25.0%	0.0%	91.7%	0.0%	66.7%	41.7%	75.0%	0.25	0.15	0.21	48
7	(a) Atlanta 508	1	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%		100.0%	0.32	0.00	0.19	55
7	(a) Birmingham 521	4	100.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	75.0%	0.19	0.14	0.11	61
7	(d) Tuskegee 619A4	6	50.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	83.3%	33.3%	83.3%	0.36	0.07	0.39	57
7	(d) Tuscaloosa 679	2	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.33	0.25	0.24	53
8	(b) Gainesville 573	2	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.19	0.35	0.59	60
10	(b) Cleveland 541	15	53.3%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	80.0%	57.1%	93.3%	0.25	0.12	0.04	43
11	(b) Battle Creek 515	7	0.0%	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%	100.0%	71.4%	100.0%	0.48	0.26	0.24	54
11	(b) Danville 550	3	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	66.7%	0.06	0.07	0.20	56
12	(b) North Chicago 556	1	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	40
12	(d) Tomah 676	6	16.7%	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%	66.7%	33.3%	66.7%	0.18	0.04	0.15	55
12	(a) Milwaukee 695	2	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.16	0.13	0.42	54
15	(b) Kansas City 589	0											
15	(b) Topeka 589A5	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.75	0.00	0.73	50
16	(b) Little Rock 598	12	91.7%	16.7%	58.3%	0.0%	58.3%	58.3%	83.3%	0.25	0.14	0.19	62
16	(a) Oklahoma City 635	13	38.5%	7.7%	38.5%	8.3%	61.5%	66.7%	91.7%	0.16	0.24	0.21	47
17	(a) Dallas 549	2	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.00	0.16	0.23	53
17	(a) Bonham 549A4	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.31	0.28	0.00	50
20	(b) American Lake 663A4	11	63.6%	0.0%	54.5%	18.2%	45.5%	36.4%	54.5%	0.19	0.12	0.12	55
21	(b) Palo Alto 640	4	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.20	0.00	0.02	66
21	(a) San Francisco 662	2	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.39	0.19	0.20	55
23	(b) Ft. Meade 568	3	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	50.0%	100.0%	0.20	0.08	0.20	61
23	(c) Hot Springs 568A8	15	46.7%	13.3%	46.7%	0.0%	86.7%	14.3%	86.7%	0.24	0.01	0.21	57
<b>Total</b>		<b>188</b>											
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>													<b>53</b>
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>5.7</b>	<b>58.1%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>55.0%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>67.7%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>80.2%</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>4.7</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>31.8%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>32.5%</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>8</b>

(a) Sites targeting homeless mentally ill veterans. Practice standards established by VHA for the percent of veterans admitted who are homeless is set at 75%.

(b) Sites targeting veterans with substance abuse problems.

(c) Sites targeting veterans with PTSD.

(d) Sites targeting veterans with general psychiatric problems.

†Northampton has sent in 3 admission forms received too late to count (due to circumstances beyond their control)

April 7, 2006  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY  
TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 1b. ADMISSION DATA for FY06 (October 1, 2005 - February 16, 2006)**

VISN	SITE	Number of Admissions	Substance Abuse Diagnosis %	Any Non-Substance Abuse Psych Diagnosis %	Serious Psychiatric Diagnosis † %	Dually Diagnosed †† %	Schizophrenia Diagnosis %	Psychosis Diagnosis (other than schizophrenia) %	Currently on Probation/ Parole %	Any Incarcerations %
1	(a) Bedford 518	14	92.9%	85.7%	85.7%	78.6%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	71.4%
1	(b) Boston 523	7	100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	71.4%
1	(c) Boston Women 523W	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
1	(b) Northampton 631	0†								
2	(a) Albany 528A8	5	100.0%	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%
3	(a) Lyons 561A4	2	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
4	(b) Butler 529	8	100.0%	87.5%	62.5%	62.5%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	50.0%
4	(a)(b) Lebanon 595	9	100.0%	44.4%	44.4%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	44.4%	88.9%
4	(b) Pittsburgh 646A5	6	100.0%	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%
5	(d) Perry Point 512A5	9	100.0%	77.8%	75.0%	66.7%	0.0%	12.5%	11.1%	77.8%
5	(a) Washington, DC 688	2	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
6	(b) Hampton 590	12	100.0%	41.7%	36.4%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	91.7%
7	(a) Atlanta 508	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7	(a) Birmingham 521	4	100.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%
7	(d) Tuskegee 619A4	6	100.0%	83.3%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	83.3%
7	(d) Tuscaloosa 679	2	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%
8	(b) Gainesville 573	2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10	(b) Cleveland 541	15	100.0%	33.3%	26.7%	26.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	86.7%
11	(b) Battle Creek 515	7	85.7%	57.1%	57.1%	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	85.7%
11	(b) Danville 550	3	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
12	(b) North Chicago 556	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
12	(d) Tomah 676	6	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	83.3%
12	(a) Milwaukee 695	2	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
15	(b) Kansas City 589	0								
15	(b) Topeka 589A5	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16	(b) Little Rock 598	12	100.0%	33.3%	36.4%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	83.3%
16	(a) Oklahoma City 635	13	84.6%	76.9%	76.9%	61.5%	0.0%	7.7%	23.1%	92.3%
17	(a) Dallas 549	2	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
17	(a) Bonham 549A4	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
20	(b) American Lake 663A4	11	81.8%	72.7%	72.7%	54.5%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	63.6%
21	(b) Palo Alto 640	4	100.0%	100.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%
21	(a) San Francisco 662	2	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
23	(b) Ft. Meade 568	3	100.0%	33.3%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%
23	(c) Hot Springs 568A8	15	100.0%	53.3%	53.3%	53.3%	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%	73.3%
<b>Total</b>		<b>188</b>								
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>5.7</b>	<b>98.3%</b>	<b>57.4%</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>72.6%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>29.5%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>31.8%</b>

(a) Sites targeting homeless mentally ill veterans. Practice standards established by VHA for the percent o veterans admitted who are homeless is set at 75%.

(b) Sites targeting veterans with substance abuse problems.

(c) Sites targeting veterans with PTSD.

(d) Sites targeting veterans with general psychiatric problems.

† Serious psychiatric diagnosis is defined as having a psychiatric diagnosis that falls into one of the following categories: schizophrenia; other psychotic disorder; mood disorder, and PTSD.

†† Dually diagnosed is defined as having a substance abuse disorder and a serious mental illness.

April 7, 2006  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY  
TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 2. Community-Oriented Programs Environment Scale (COPEs) FY06 (October 1, 2005- February 16, 2006):**

VISN		SITE	(N)	SUBSCALE MEANS									CINDEX††	
				Relationship Dimensions			Personal Growth Dimensions				System Maintenance Dimensions			
				Involvement	Support	Spontaneity	Autonomy	Practical Orientation	Personal Problem Orientation	Anger and Aggression	Order and Organization	Program Clarity		Staff Control
1	Bedford 518	7	3.14	3.14	2.00	1.86	2.71	1.86	1.14	3.14	2.86	3.29	2.67	
1	Boston 523	4	3.50	4.00	3.25	2.25	4.00	1.75	1.25	4.00	3.75	3.00	3.28	
1	Boston Women 523W	0												
1	Northampton 631	0												
2	Albany 528A8	8	3.25	3.13	1.63	1.50	2.88	2.13	2.00	3.13	3.63	3.25	2.72	
3	Lyons 561A4	2	3.50	3.50	1.50	3.00	3.50	1.50	0.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.06	
4	Butler 529	4	3.50	3.25	2.00	1.25	3.50	1.25	0.25	3.75	4.00	3.25	2.86	
4	Lebanon 595	5	2.80	3.20	1.80	1.60	3.00	2.40	0.80	2.60	3.60	2.80	2.64	
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	5	4.00	3.80	1.60	1.80	2.60	2.80	1.80	4.00	4.00	3.40	3.11	
5	Perry Point 512A5	0												
5	Washington, DC 688	0												
6	Hampton 590	7	3.71	3.71	1.71	2.86	4.00	2.57	1.71	4.00	3.86	3.14	3.29	
7	Atlanta 508	5	3.60	3.00	2.00	2.20	2.60	2.60	1.40	3.80	3.40	2.80	2.89	
7	Birmingham 521	2	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.50	4.00	2.50	0.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.39	
7	Tuskegee 619A4	1	4.00	3.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.11	
7	Tuscaloosa 679	0												
8	Gainesville 573	4	2.25	2.75	2.25	1.25	3.25	2.00	1.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	2.53	
10	Cleveland 541	13	3.62	3.23	1.85	2.00	3.38	1.69	0.92	3.69	3.92	3.62	3.00	
11	Battle Creek 515	7	3.00	3.14	1.43	2.14	3.43	1.86	1.57	3.57	3.86	3.14	2.84	
11	Danville 550	3	4.00	3.33	1.00	1.67	4.00	2.67	2.00	3.67	4.00	3.00	3.04	
12	North Chicago 556	4	4.00	3.75	1.75	2.25	3.00	3.25	2.75	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.22	
12	Tomah 676	1	3.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	2.44	
12	Milwaukee 695	2	3.50	3.00	0.50	2.50	2.00	2.50	1.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	2.78	
15	Kansas City 589	0												
15	Topeka 589A5	3	2.33	3.00	2.67	2.00	2.33	1.00	1.67	3.33	2.33	2.67	2.41	
16	Little Rock 598	5	3.80	3.80	2.20	2.40	3.80	2.20	0.20	4.00	3.80	3.40	3.27	
16	Oklahoma City 635	5	3.20	2.80	2.00	1.60	3.00	0.60	1.00	3.20	3.40	2.80	2.51	
17	Dallas 549	4	3.25	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.25	2.00	1.75	4.00	3.25	3.50	2.92	
17	Bonham 549A4	1	4.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.44	
20	American Lake 663A4	6	3.50	3.33	2.50	2.83	1.83	2.17	0.67	3.17	3.33	2.67	2.81	
21	Palo Alto 640	4	3.50	3.75	1.50	1.75	4.00	2.50	1.75	4.00	3.50	3.75	3.14	
21	San Francisco 662	2	4.00	3.00	2.50	3.50	4.00	2.50	0.50	3.50	4.00	2.50	3.28	
23	Ft. Meade 568	4	3.50	3.25	2.00	2.25	3.25	3.25	0.75	3.50	4.00	3.25	3.14	
23	Hot Springs 568A8	10	2.60	2.90	1.30	1.50	2.60	1.90	1.80	3.10	2.80	3.30	2.44	
Total			128											
Site Average			3.39	3.24	1.93	2.16	3.21	2.12	1.13	3.59	3.67	3.11	2.94	
Site S.D.			0.50	0.58	0.62	0.64	0.69	0.76	0.75	0.39	0.44	0.47	0.31	
American Normative Sample			2.71	2.76	2.11	1.97	2.26	1.82	1.66	2.97	3.05	2.26		

† COPEs subscales scores range 0-4.

†† Cindex is the mean of 9 of the 10 COPEs subscales. The anger & aggression subscale has been omitted

April 7, 2006  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY  
TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 3. Work Environment Scale (WES) Data FY06 (October 1, 2005 - February 16, 2006)†**

VISN		SITE	(N)	SUBSCALE MEANS									WINDEX††
				Relationship Dimensions			Personal Growth Dimensions			System Maintenance and System Change Dimensions			
				Involvement	Peer Cohesion	Supervisor Support	Autonomy	Task Orientation	Work Pressure	Clarity	Control	Innovation	
1	Bedford 518	7	5.29	4.71	4.86	3.71	7.00	5.57	5.57	7.00	3.57	5.14	5.21
1	Boston 523	4	5.25	5.75	5.75	4.50	7.50	2.00	7.00	7.50	2.50	6.00	5.75
1	Boston Women 523W	0											
1	Northampton 631	0											
2	Albany 528A8	8	5.25	5.63	5.00	5.38	6.13	3.38	6.25	7.50	3.63	6.50	5.69
3	Lyons 561A4	2	7.50	7.50	7.50	7.00	8.50	3.00	8.00	8.00	4.50	6.00	7.17
4	Butler 529	4	8.00	7.50	7.25	6.25	8.50	2.75	8.25	6.75	4.50	8.25	7.25
4	Lebanon 595	5	5.40	4.40	3.60	3.80	7.40	5.00	5.80	8.00	2.60	5.40	5.16
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	5	8.00	7.00	6.80	7.20	8.40	2.60	7.80	8.40	4.20	7.80	7.29
5	Perry Point 512A5	0											
5	Washington, DC 688	0											
6	Hampton 590	7	7.14	6.43	7.14	6.14	7.71	2.71	7.86	7.86	5.43	7.14	6.98
7	Atlanta 508	5	6.20	4.60	4.80	3.80	6.80	2.60	7.00	8.00	3.00	7.20	5.71
7	Birmingham 521	2	7.50	6.00	5.50	4.50	7.50	5.00	7.50	7.00	3.00	8.00	6.28
7	Tuskegee 619A4	1	9.00	8.00	7.00	6.00	9.00	3.00	9.00	8.00	6.00	9.00	7.89
7	Tuscaloosa 679	0											
8	Gainesville 573	4	8.25	7.75	7.75	5.75	7.75	1.50	8.75	7.25	4.00	6.50	7.08
10	Cleveland 541	13	5.08	5.38	5.08	5.00	6.38	4.08	6.00	6.38	3.92	5.38	5.40
11	Battle Creek 515	7	5.00	6.86	7.00	6.00	6.71	2.14	7.14	6.86	3.43	4.57	5.95
11	Danville 550	3	7.67	7.00	7.67	7.00	8.33	2.00	8.67	7.00	7.33	7.00	7.52
12	North Chicago 556	4	7.00	6.75	6.50	5.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.25	1.25	7.75	6.50
12	Tomah 676	1	2.00	5.00	7.00	4.00	6.00	6.00	7.00	6.00	1.00	6.00	4.89
12	Milwaukee 695	2	5.00	5.00	6.00	5.50	8.50	3.50	7.00	5.50	5.00	6.00	5.94
15	Kansas City 589	0											
15	Topeka 589A5	3	4.33	3.67	4.67	4.00	6.00	5.00	6.00	7.33	2.00	6.33	4.93
16	Little Rock 598	5	7.40	7.00	7.60	6.60	8.00	1.60	8.40	6.00	5.20	8.60	7.20
16	Oklahoma City 635	5	6.00	5.60	6.80	6.20	7.00	1.40	6.80	7.00	4.00	6.80	6.24
17	Dallas 549	4	4.75	4.25	3.75	3.50	6.75	5.00	6.00	8.25	2.50	6.50	5.14
17	Bonham 549A4	1	9.00	9.00	7.00	9.00	9.00	2.00	9.00	8.00	6.00	8.00	8.22
20	American Lake 663A4	6	6.17	5.17	5.67	5.83	8.00	4.33	6.33	6.17	3.17	6.17	5.85
21	Palo Alto 640	4	5.50	4.75	6.00	5.75	6.75	5.00	6.25	8.50	4.00	6.50	6.00
21	San Francisco 662	2	7.50	8.50	7.00	5.00	8.00	3.00	7.50	8.00	4.00	7.50	7.00
23	Ft. Meade 568	4	8.25	8.00	7.50	7.50	8.75	4.25	8.50	8.00	4.50	8.50	7.72
23	Hot Springs 568A8	10	5.80	4.60	5.90	5.20	5.40	3.10	6.60	7.20	2.90	5.60	5.47
Total		128											
Site Average			6.40	6.14	6.22	5.54	7.49	3.41	7.28	7.35	3.83	6.79	6.34
Site S.D.			1.62	1.45	1.20	1.32	0.99	1.33	1.05	0.81	1.43	1.14	0.97
Normative Sample													
General Work Setting			5.95	5.70	5.68	5.54	5.90	4.40	5.60	4.88	4.42	4.89	
(N=1,446 employees)													

† WES sub:

†† Windex is the mean of 9 of the 10 WES subscales. The work pressure subscale has been omitted.

April 7, 2006  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY  
TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 4a. DISCHARGE DATA for FY06 (October 1, 2005 - February 16, 2006)**

VISN	SITE	Number of Dis- charges†	Mean Length of Stay	Avg Wkly Rent Paid	Avg CWT Wkly Earnings	Avg Comp Emp Wkly Earnings	Total Avg Wkly Earnings††	Mutual Agreed D/C %	Failure to Comply w/ Prog. Req. %	Left Before Planned Discharge %
1	Bedford 518	24	211.2	\$49.38	\$202.98	\$22.65	\$225.64	50.0%	29.2%	16.7%
1	Boston 523	7	198.9	\$87.94	\$313.90	\$95.80	\$409.70	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%
1	Boston Women 523W	0								
1	Northampton 631	0								
2	Albany 528A8	2	103.0	\$54.49	\$184.79	\$0.00	\$184.79	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
3	Lyons 561A4	4	366.5	\$53.00	\$157.17	\$64.00	\$181.88	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%
4	Butler 529	3	83.7	\$28.31	\$202.73	\$0.00	\$202.73	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%
4	Lebanon 595	8	104.5	\$38.60	\$160.57	\$88.54	\$249.12	87.5%	0.0%	12.5%
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	4	348.0	\$35.71	\$148.84	\$0.00	\$148.84	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%
5	Perry Point 512A5	7	166.6	\$46.53	\$86.46	\$105.85	\$192.31	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%
5	Washington, DC 688	0								
6	Hampton 590	7	156.6	\$45.35	\$158.89	\$94.72	\$253.61	71.4%	14.3%	0.0%
7	Atlanta 508	0								
7	Birmingham 521	2	306.0	\$29.69	\$287.38	\$0.00	\$287.38	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7	Tuskegee 619A4	0								
7	Tuscaloosa 679	5	142.4	\$17.01	\$168.74	\$23.96	\$192.71	60.0%	0.0%	20.0%
8	Gainesville 573	2	177.5	\$61.34	\$186.36	\$10.48	\$196.84	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10	Cleveland 541	6	113.2	\$57.37	\$227.88	\$0.00	\$227.88	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%
11	Battle Creek 515	3	115.3	\$47.73	\$147.98	\$40.58	\$188.56	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%
11	Danville 550	2	69.0		\$183.01	\$18.67	\$201.67	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
12	North Chicago 556	1	160.0	\$45.28	\$112.53	\$113.44	\$225.97	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
12	Tomah 676	6	229.7	\$54.43	\$216.07	\$46.38	\$262.45	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%
12	Milwaukee 695	1	259.0	\$65.54	\$241.62	\$82.70	\$324.32	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
15	Kansas City 589	0								
15	Topeka 589A5	1	477.0	\$51.77	\$197.00	\$0.00	\$197.00	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16	Little Rock 598	12	185.2	\$47.54	\$208.56	\$25.11	\$233.67	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%
16	Oklahoma City 635	7	189.3	\$42.58	\$144.75	\$66.07	\$210.82	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%
17	Dallas 549	6	228.7	\$33.33	\$213.77	\$0.00	\$213.77	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
17	Bonham 549A4	2	76.0	\$51.21	\$211.50	\$0.00	\$211.50	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
20	American Lake 663A4	14	166.7	\$41.42	\$66.84	\$120.27	\$187.11	50.0%	42.9%	0.0%
21	Palo Alto 640	1	439.0	\$71.75	\$184.58	\$293.39	\$477.98	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
21	San Francisco 662	2	309.0	\$39.01	\$121.49	\$49.70	\$171.19	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
23	Ft. Meade 568	3	185.7	\$46.02	\$140.19	\$95.99	\$236.18	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%
23	Hot Springs 568A8	15	89.5	\$40.96	\$159.50	\$17.12	\$176.62	53.3%	26.7%	20.0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>157</b>								
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>4.6</b>	<b>202.0</b>	<b>\$47.53</b>	<b>\$179.86</b>	<b>\$52.69</b>	<b>\$231.15</b>	<b>52.3%</b>	<b>23.9%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>5.2</b>	<b>107.7</b>	<b>\$14.17</b>	<b>\$52.60</b>	<b>\$62.69</b>	<b>\$71.06</b>	<b>31.5%</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>27.2%</b>

†This table only includes 1st discharges from your program.

††If there are errors/omissions for questions #17-17b or #18-18b on the discharge summary the "Total Avg Wkly Earnings" will not equal the sum of "Avg CWT Wkly Earnings" and "Avg Comp Emp Wkly Earnings."

**April 7, 2006**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 4b. DISCHARGE DATA for FY06 (October 1, 2005 - February 16, 2006)**

VISN	SITE	Number of Discharges †	Housed at D/C %	Institution- alized at D/C†† %	In Residential Tx at D/C††† %	Hospitalized at D/C %	Competitive Emp. at D/C %	In CWT at D/C %	Unem- ployed at D/C %	Student or Vocational Training at D/C %	Retired or Disabled at D/C %	Mutually Agreed D/C, Housed & Comp. Emp at D/C %	Mean GAF Score At D/C
1	Bedford 518	24	79.2%	8.3%	4.2%	0.0%	16.7%	37.5%	41.7%	4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	57
1	Boston 523	7	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%	55
1	Boston Women 523W	0											
1	Northampton 631	0											
2	Albany 528A8	2	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	48
3	Lyons 561A4	4	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	61
4	Butler 529	3	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	52
4	Lebanon 595	8	75.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	62.5%	70
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	4	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	63
5	Perry Point 512A5	7	85.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	50
5	Washington, DC 688	0											
6	Hampton 590	7	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	52
7	Atlanta 508	0											
7	Birmingham 521	2	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	66
7	Tuskegee 619A4	0											
7	Tuscaloosa 679	5	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	64
8	Gainesville 573	2	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	78
10	Cleveland 541	6	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	63
11	Battle Creek 515	3	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	55
11	Danville 550	2	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	54
12	North Chicago 556	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40
12	Tomah 676	6	83.3%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	63
12	Milwaukee 695	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	62
15	Kansas City 589	0											
15	Topeka 589A5	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	65
16	Little Rock 598	12	91.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.3%	8.3%	25.0%	8.3%	0.0%	33.3%	62
16	Oklahoma City 635	7	71.4%	14.3%	0.0%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	0.0%	42.9%	56
17	Dallas 549	6	66.7%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	51
17	Bonham 549A4	2	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	65
20	American Lake 663A4	14	71.4%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	7.1%	35.7%	60
21	Palo Alto 640	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	75
21	San Francisco 662	2	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	65
23	Ft. Meade 568	3	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	57
23	Hot Springs 568A8	15	73.3%	20.0%	13.3%	0.0%	13.3%	26.7%	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	6.7%	60
<b>Total</b>		<b>157</b>											
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>													<b>59</b>
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>4.6</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>47.4%</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>29.3%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>34.5%</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>5.2</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>30.3%</b>	<b>8</b>

†This table only includes 1st discharges from your program.

††Includes hospital, halfway house/transitional living programs, and prison.

††† Residential tx includes halfway house/transitional living programs and domiciliaries

April 7, 2006  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY  
TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 5. THREE-MONTH FOLLOW UP DATA FOR FY06 (October 1, 2005 - February 16, 2006)**

VISN	SITE	Number of 3-Month Follow-ups Interviewed	Percent of 3-month follow-up interviews completed %	Etoh and Drug Free Past 3 Months %	Housed Past 90 Days† %	Mean Nights Housed Past 90 Days	Currently in Competitive Employment %	Sober, Housed, and in Competitive Employment Past 3 Months %	Currently in CWT %	Sober, Housed, and in Competitive Employment or in CWT Past 3 Months %	Mean Total Income Past 30 Days	Mean GAF Score at 3-month Follow-up
1	Bedford 518	7	25.0%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	28.6%	28.6%	85.7%	85.7%	\$1,831.29	64
1	Boston 523	7	66.7%	85.7%	85.7%	87.6	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	57.1%	\$1,784.71	57
1	Boston Women 523W	0	0.0%									
1	Northampton 631	0	0.0%									
2	Albany 528A8	5	66.7%	60.0%	60.0%	76.0	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	60.0%	\$916.40	55
3	Lyons 561A4	0	0.0%									
4	Butler 529	0	0.0%									
4	Lebanon 595	3	17.6%	66.7%	33.3%	63.3	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	\$485.00	60
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	1	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	\$1,338.00	75
5	Perry Point 512A5	0	0.0%									
6	Hampton 590	6	7.1%	100.0%	83.3%	75.0	83.3%	66.7%	0.0%	66.7%	\$875.00	51
7	Atlanta 508	0	0.0%									
7	Birmingham 521	0	0.0%									
7	Tuscaloosa 679	2	16.7%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	\$950.00	78
8	Gainesville 573	1	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	\$1,360.00	80
10	Cleveland 541	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	\$0.00	55
11	Battle Creek 515	3	33.3%	100.0%	33.3%	48.0	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	\$860.00	59
11	Danville 550	2	33.3%	0.0%	50.0%	45.0	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	\$847.50	59
12	North Chicago 556	0	0.0%									
12	Tomah 676	0	0.0%									
12	Milwaukee 695	0	0.0%									
15	Kansas City 589	0	0.0%									
15	Topeka 589A5	2	33.3%	50.0%	50.0%	60.0	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	\$1,160.00	60
16	Little Rock 598	0	0.0%									
16	Oklahoma City 635	4	25.0%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	\$1,922.00	68
17	Dallas 549	3	27.3%	0.0%	33.3%	38.3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	\$115.33	54
17	Bonham 549A4	0	0.0%									
20	American Lake 663A4	13	44.4%	69.2%	46.2%	56.8	38.5%	23.1%	23.1%	23.1%	\$848.62	63
21	Palo Alto 640	6	57.1%	50.0%	83.3%	85.7	83.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	\$1,707.67	72
21	San Francisco 662	1	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	\$2,000.00	75
23	Ft. Meade 568	5	42.9%	60.0%	60.0%	55.4	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	\$881.40	51
23	Hot Springs 568A8	2	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	61.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	\$1,128.00	58
<b>Total</b>		<b>74</b>										
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>												<b>61</b>
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>2.3</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>70.6%</b>	<b>58.9%</b>	<b>63.3</b>	<b>58.5%</b>	<b>36.3%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>41.9%</b>	<b>\$1,105.84</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>3.0</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>36.6%</b>	<b>35.8%</b>	<b>28.2</b>	<b>37.4%</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>40.7%</b>	<b>\$571.72</b>	<b>9</b>

†Housed past 90 days is defined as being either in own apartment, room, or house; someone else's apt., room or house; or a hotel, SRO, or boarding home for at least 90 days.

**April 7, 2006**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 6. Three-Month Follow-Up Rates by Fiscal**

VISN	SITE	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05†	FY06†
		3-Month	3-Month	3-Month	3-Month	3-Month	3-Month	3-Month	3-Month	3-Month	3-Month	3-Month	3-Month
1	Bedford 518		70.4%	58.5%	28.1%	22.5%	35.2%	31.5%	36.8%	27.6%	39.0%	17.6%	25.0%
1	Boston 523	100.0%	100.0%	96.2%	100.0%	88.2%	100.0%	100.0%	85.7%	82.4%	84.2%	68.8%	66.7%
1	Boston 523W						100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	75.0%	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%
1	Northampton 631	69.4%	57.1%	29.4%	96.3%	95.7%	28.1%	41.7%	38.1%	43.5%	28.6%	46.2%	0.0%
2	Albany 528A8	100.0%	89.5%	76.9%	72.7%	70.8%	40.0%	26.9%	11.1%	57.1%	92.3%	95.5%	66.7%
3	Lyons 561A4						11.1%	25.0%	50.0%	68.8%	47.1%	72.7%	0.0%
4	Butler 529												0.0%
4	Lebanon 595		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.8%	11.1%	30.0%	26.7%	38.9%	5.6%	69.4%	17.6%
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	71.4%	56.3%	50.0%	76.9%	57.7%	72.7%	54.6%	52.4%	77.8%	84.2%	76.5%	0.0%
5	Perry Point 512A5										8.0%	48.5%	0.0%
6	Hampton 590	81.1%	87.5%	63.8%	62.3%	57.1%	48.7%	40.0%	38.9%	51.3%	16.7%	15.4%	7.1%
7	Atlanta 508		75.0%	66.7%	66.7%	46.7%	55.6%	26.7%	0.0%	37.5%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%
7	Birmingham 521										0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7	Tuscaloosa 679											100.0%	16.7%
8	Gainesville 573							66.7%	58.8%	52.9%	61.5%	70.0%	25.0%
10	Cleveland 541			35.7%	64.3%	46.9%	57.4%	48.0%	28.6%	65.0%	12.8%	15.4%	0.0%
11	Battle Creek 515	77.3%	90.3%	96.4%	94.3%	90.0%	87.5%	17.7%	6.3%	5.6%	34.8%	16.7%	33.3%
11	Danville 550								63.6%	54.5%	63.6%	60.0%	33.3%
12	North Chicago 556	84.4%	92.0%	94.6%	74.2%	52.9%	36.4%	36.7%	41.4%	55.6%	26.9%	15.8%	0.0%
12	Tomah 676						0.0%	6.7%	26.3%	30.0%	47.1%	40.0%	0.0%
12	Milwaukee 695								66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	70.0%	0.0%
15	Kansas City 589	100.0%	35.3%	40.4%	40.9%	33.3%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	41.2%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%
15	Topeka 589A5	64.9%	97.1%	60.5%	36.8%	47.4%	50.0%	75.0%	20.0%	76.2%	68.8%	81.3%	33.3%
16	Little Rock 598	78.6%	77.8%	58.5%	61.7%	24.5%	27.8%	30.6%	4.3%	28.2%	12.0%	38.6%	0.0%
16	Oklahoma City 635	91.9%	36.7%	62.5%	66.7%	18.5%	26.1%	59.3%	57.9%	54.1%	62.8%	69.2%	25.0%
17	Dallas 549	76.5%	65.2%	75.9%	93.3%	92.3%	57.9%	44.4%	75.0%	88.9%	76.0%	78.9%	27.3%
17	Bonham 549A4										0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
20	American Lake 663A4	86.3%	63.3%	26.3%	0.0%	33.3%	22.7%	36.7%	41.2%	60.0%	68.0%	58.5%	44.4%
21	Palo Alto 640	75.0%	66.7%	44.4%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	68.4%	80.0%	65.0%	72.7%	62.5%	57.1%
21	San Francisco 662	50.0%	100.0%	90.0%	85.0%	83.3%	52.2%	50.0%	37.5%	75.0%	71.4%	45.5%	0.0%
23	Ft. Meade 568	70.0%	33.3%	53.3%	47.1%	83.3%	84.6%	30.8%	83.3%	50.0%	47.8%	50.0%	42.9%
23	Hot Springs 568A8									100.0%		62.5%	14.3%
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>79.8%</b>	<b>73.3%</b>	<b>64.0%</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>41.3%</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>48.2%</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>13.8%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>

†Data for fiscal years 1989-1994 have been omitted due to space constraints.

†† The percents for FY05 and FY06 under-represent 3-month follow-up rates as NEPEC is still expecting more data from sites.

†††Knoxville's data has been deleted since their program closed in 1997.